#### news and views

Once activated, the proteinase precursor cleaves its own M site and that of the assembly protein precursor, untethering most of those proteins from their CCD-mediated interaction with the inner wall of the procapsid (Fig. 2). R site cleavage apparently follows but whether it is needed to further mobilize assemblin or selectively eliminate the linker/assembly protein portion of the precursor has not been determined. These cleavages lead to angularization of the spherical procapsid to its mature icosahedral shape<sup>20</sup>. In conjunction with DNA packaging, which ensues, most of the cleavage products are eliminated from the capsid cavity - most notably, the assembly protein and linker/scaffold portion of the proteinase precursor. The role of I site cleavage in this process is open for speculation.

#### **Regulating cleavage**

An essential feature of the overall biological mechanism of the herpesvirus proteinase is that its activity be regulated. Premature separation of the proteolytic domain from the rest of the precursor would remove its targeting sequences and reduce or prevent its incorporation into the procapsid. If dimerization is required to activate the proteinase precursor in infected cells, as it is for assemblin *in vitro*, then the proposed concentration effect of capsid assembly is an attractive explanation for activation only in the right place at the right time. This has been difficult to test directly because the precursor has a propensity to aggregate, due to the self-interacting sequences in its scaffolding domain, that complicates its purification and study.

If activation were achieved through general conformational changes resulting from dimerization, per se, the challenge of finding small molecule inhibitors of such a large interface would be daunting. This is reinforced by the demonstration that dimer stability was not appreciably reduced in assemblin mutants intentionally designed to interfere with it<sup>11</sup>. On the other hand, if the interface contains key residues or small motifs that function to transduce an activation signal to the catalytic site, the possibility of selectively targeting them is more feasible. The authors' data are compatible with this possibility. A mutation (S225Y) in the  $\alpha$ F helix, which had a relatively small effect on dimerization and alignment of the catalytic triad, severely reduced catalytic activity<sup>11</sup>. Apart from the interesting hypothesized mechanism, this compelling finding suggests that compounds able to effect the same change as the S225Y mutation may inhibit the enzyme, even in the face of dimerization. Although work remains to be done to substantiate these findings, and extend them to assemblin and its precursor in the context of infected cells, the new information and the leads it provides in the search for herpesvirus proteinase-targeted antivirals is exciting.

Wade Gibson is at The Johns Hopkins School of Medicine, Pharmaclogy & Molecular Sciences, 725 N. Wolfe Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21205 USA. email: wgibson@ jhmi.edu

- Welch, A.R., Woods, A.S., McNally, L.M., Cotter, R.J. & Gibson, W. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 88, 10792–10796 (1991).
- Liu, F. & Roizman, B. J. Virol. 65, 5149–5156 (1991).
   Preston, V.G., Rixon, F.J., McDougall, I.M., McGregor, M. & Al Kobaisi, M.F. Virol. 186, 87–98 (1992).
- M. & Al Kobaisi, M.F. Virol. 186, 87–98 (1992).
   Preston, V.G., Coates, J.A. & Rixon, F.J. J. Virol. 45, 1056–1064 (1983)
- 1056–1064 (1983). 5. Gao, M. *et al. J. Virol.* **68**, 3702–3712 (1994).
- 6. Barrett, A.J. Methods Enzymol. 244, 1-61 (1994).
- Gibson, W., Welch, A.R. & Hall, M.R.T. Perspectives Drug Discovery Design 2, 413–426 (1995).
- 8. Holwerda, B.C. Antiviral Res. 35, 1-21 (1997)
- Waxman, L. & Darke, P.L. Antivir. Chem. Chemother 11, 1–22 (2000).
   Patick, A.K. & Potts, K.E. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 11,
- Patick, A.K. & Potts, K.E. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* 11, 614–627 (1998).
   Batra, R., Khayat, R. & Tong, L. *Nature Struct. Biol.*
- Batra, R., Khayat, R. & Iong, L. Nature Struct. Biol 8, 810–817 (2001).
   Tong L et al. Nature 383, 272–275 (1996).
- 12. Tong, L. *et al. Nature* **383**, 272–275 (1996). 13. Qiu, X. *et al. Nature* **383**, 275–279 (1996).
- 14. Shieh, H.-S. *et al. Nature* **383**, 275–279 (1996).
- 15. Chen. P. et al. Cell 86, 835–843 (1996).
- 16. Baum, E.Z. *et al. J. Virol.* **67**, 497–506 (1993)
- Gibson, W. & Hall, M.R.T. In *Drug Design and Discovery* (ed. Glynn, D) 39–47 (Harwood Academic Publishers, Langhorne; 1997).
- Mocarski, E.S.J. In Virology, Vol. 2 (eds Fields, B.N., Knipe, D.M. & Howley, P.M.) 2447–2492 (Lippincott-Raven Publishers, Philadelphia; 1996).
   Gibson W. Intervictory 39, 289–400 (1996).
- Gibson, W. Intervirology **39**, 389–400 (1996).
   Steven, A.C. & Spear, P.G. In *Structural Biology of Viruses* (eds Chiu, W., Burnett, R.M. & Garcea, R.L.)
- 312–351 (Oxford University Press, New York, 1997).
  21. Plafker, S.M. & Gibson, W. J. Virol. 72, 7722–7732 (1998).
- 22. Rixon, F.J. & McNab, D. J. Virol. 73, 5714-5721 (1999).

## history

# Hydrogen exchange

S. Walter Englander & Mallela M. G. Krishna

The central role played by crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance in modern macromolecular studies is being supplemented, more and more, by high resolution hydrogen exchange (HX), which can access structural information that the more familiar methods cannot. Hydrogens on the main chain amides of proteins and the hydrogen bonding groups of nucleic acids engage in continuous exchange with solvent hydrogens. HX rates depend on the important details of macromolecular structure and on its dynamic behavior and thermodynamic parameters. The challenge for the last half century has been to measure the

The central role played by crystallography and nuclear magnetic resonance in terms.

> That challenge has begun to be met. HX methods have now been used to study structure and conformational changes in proteins and nucleic acids in various physical states — in solution, and in the membrane-bound, crystalline, molten globular and lyophilized states; in many biologically relevant complexes — for example when interacting with GroEL, calmodulin, antibodies, substrates and inhibitors, and redox partners; and even in whole active muscle. HX can access kinetic folding intermediates that fly by in milliseconds and intermediates that exist in infinitesi-

mal quantities as high energy excited states in equilibrium with the native state. HX measurements can illuminate the time dimension of macromolecular structure — the dynamic structural fluctuations that occur even under native conditions, ranging from small local fluctuations up to global reversible unfolding. Measured exchange rates add kinetic and thermodynamic information about these processes.

It was not always that way. Although hydrogen exchange came on the scene 10 years before the first X-ray structures were solved, and HX observations opened the issue of structural dynamics almost 20 years before the onset of molecular dynam-

### history



Fig. 1. The increasing role of hydrogen exchange in biomolecular studies. The upsurge in contributions to the protein folding field is shown in green.

ics studies, a very long time elapsed before it rose to its present level of capability.

In the 1950s, amid the excitement generated by the discovery of the  $\alpha$ -helix and the β-sheet, Kaj Linderstrøm-Lang realized that Pauling's postulated hydrogen bonded structures might be sought experimentally in real proteins by measuring amide hydrogen exchange rates. To accomplish this Lang and his colleagues at the Carlsberg Laboratories in Copenhagen invented entirely new technologies, based on H-D exchange and its quantitative determination by a sensitive density method. In a remarkable feat of scientific intuition and imagination, Lang was able to infer the mechanisms and write the theoretical equations that still underlie our most modern concepts<sup>1</sup>.

In the 1960s the invention of size exclusion chromatography and the commerliquid scintillation cialization of technology allowed the development of facile tritium exchange methods that provided excellent accuracy and ease of use<sup>2</sup>. These methods dominated further developments for the next 20 years, during which a small band of hardy investigators - most intensively Andreas Rosenberg, Clare Woodward, and Joan and Walter Englander — pressed stubbornly on with the development of basic concepts and calibrations, in spite of a marked lack of interest by the community at large. They were inspired by a vision of the inherent potential of the approach. However, the reach of these early studies was limited by the inability to measure hydrogen exchange at significantly high structural resolution.

The tide began to turn in about 1980 with the advent of medium resolution fragmentation and fragment separation technology based on then newly emerging HPLC technology, inaugurated by Fred Richards and realized in following work by Joan Englander and her coworkers<sup>3,4</sup>. In this approach, exchanging hydrogens can be roughly located and their exchange rates measured by stopping the HX reaction at various time points (sample plunged into slow HX conditions at low pH and temperature), fragmenting with an acid protease, quickly separating the fragments by HPLC, and determining their carried tritium label. These methods are just now being extended to high resolution and to large proteins through the explosive development of mass spectrometric (MS) technology. An online HPLC/MS configuration adds a second dimension of fragment separation and replaces the liquid scintillation analysis for tritium with mass determination of the fragments for their deuterium content. Especially promising is the potential marriage of these methods with HX 'functional labeling' approaches which can be used to selectively label and measure just those sites that actively participate in the function being studied while automatically rejecting the vast number of confusing 'background' hydrogens<sup>5</sup>.

The 1980s also ushered in the modern era of truly high resolution hydrogen exchange<sup>6</sup> with the development of 2D NMR, driven by the work of Ernst and Wüthrich and their collaborators, and more recently amplified by the multidimensional multi-isotopic capabilities pioneered by Bax and others. NMR methods now routinely access H-D exchange in reasonably small proteins and nucleic acids and thus make available all of the above mentioned information resolved to the individual proton level.

A brief literature search shows the growing utilization of HX methods for biophysical studies and the significant fraction devoted specifically to the protein folding problem since 1990 (Fig. 1). HX was initially introduced to the folding field by Buzz Baldwin with Franz Schmid in 1979<sup>7</sup>, and Baldwin together with Peter Kim described its potential applications during the 1980s. The merger of these ideas with high resolution NMR in 1988 by Baldwin and Roder and their coworkers revealed for the first time the structure of very short lived kinetic folding intermediates<sup>8,9</sup>, previously thought to be wholly out of reach. This pivotal event opened the floodgates to many further studies that have rather dramatically elucidated the structure and stability of partially folded kinetic and equilibrium intermediates, which can be inferred only dimly by other available methodologies.

Work now in progress in many laboratories builds on a foundation constructed over a half century of hydrogen exchange development. The remarkable power of HX approaches is now apparent. Future work will be able to mobilize that power to peer ever more deeply into the secrets of biomolecular structure and conformational changes, their dynamics and energetics, the bases of molecular cooperativity, and the principles of structural design, in ways that were unimaginable only a short time ago.

S. Walter Englander and Mallela M. G. Krishna are in The Johnson Research Foundation, Department of Biochemistry & Biophysics, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, Philadelphia. Pennsylvania 19104, USA. S.W.E. and M.M.G.K. are supported by research grants from the NIH and the Mathers Foundation. Correspondence should be addressed to S.W.E. email: walter@ HX2.Med.UPenn.edu

- Hvidt, A. & Nielsen, S.O. Adv. Protein Chem. 21 287–386 (1966). Englander, S.W. *Biochemistry* **2**, 798–807 (1963)
- 3. Rosa, J.J. & Richards, F.M. J. Mol. Biol. 133, 399-416
- (1979). 4. Englander, J.J., Rogero, J.R. & Englander, S.W. Anal
- Biochem. 147, 234–244 (1985). Englander, S.W. & Englander, J.J. Methods Enzymol
- 232, 26-42 (1994
- Wagner, G. & Wüthrich, K. J. Mol. Biol. 160, 343-361 (1982). Schmid, F.X. & Baldwin, R.L. J. Mol. Biol. 135, 199-
- 215 (1979). Udgaonkar, J.B. & Baldwin, R.L. Nature 335, 694-8. 699 (1988).
- Roder, H., Elöve, G.A. & Englander, S.W. Nature 335, 700–704 (1988)